

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/320,921	05/27/1999	MICHAEL F. GUHEEN	AND1P104	5611
7:	590 09/29/2003			
Merchant & Gould P.C. P.O. Box 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			EXAMINER	
			DIXON, THOMAS A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629 DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

l,			NF			
v v	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/320,921	GUHEEN ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas A. Dixon	3629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears n the c ver sheet with the c	rrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) Late The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if mely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered been raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·—	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:			İ			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	· · · · · · · · ·	,				
		to 12	-C+			
		Thomas A. Dixon				
		Examiner				

Art Unit: 3629

Continuation of 10. Other:

- Applicant's arguments request a showing of "non-textual indicia coding", but this is not the language of the claim and the examiner may not properly read limitations into the claim that are not explicitly stated.
 The graphic boxes of figures 7 and 8 are seen to be a pictoral representation of the components of the system 710 comprising boxes
- 2. The graphic boxes of figures 7 and 8 are seen to be a pictoral representation of the components of the system 710 comprising boxes 711-732 which include a multitude of editors which would be used in building, maintaining and supporting the system, further figures 8, 15 and 16 contain the shading feature seen to be indicial coding as defined by the dependent claims.